

## NEMATODE COMMUNITIES IN BEECH FORESTS IN “STRANDZHA” NATURAL PARK, BULGARIA

### I. SPECIES COMPOSITION

I. ILIEV<sup>1\*</sup> and Zh. ILIEVA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Sofia University “St. Kliment Ohridski”, Dept. Zoology and Anthropology, Faculty of Biology, BG – 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria

<sup>2</sup> ISSAPP “N. Pushkarov”, Plant Protection Division, BG – 2230 Kostinbrod, Bulgaria

### Abstract

ILIEV, I. and Zh. ILIEVA, 2014. Nematode Communities in Beech Forests in “Strandzha” Natural Park, Bulgaria. I. Species composition. *Bulg. J. Agric. Sci.*, Supplement 1: 143–150

A study on soil nematode communities was conducted in beech forest associations in two plant climatic zones designated as “rhododendron area” and “high area”. Samples were collected in April, June, August, October and December, 2009, and April, June, August and October, 2010 from three sites: i) Protected Zone “Marina reka” and ii) Protected Zone “Bjalata prast” in the rhododendron area, and iii) “Propada” locality in the high area. The species composition, the trophic structure and the functional guilds of the communities were described.

134 nematode species from 38 families and 12 orders were identified. The highest species diversity was established on site “Propada” (104 species), and the lowest on site “Bjalata prast” (49 species). Only 29 species were common for all three studied localities. Nineteen species and genus *Kochinema* represent new geographic records for Bulgaria.

The greatest species diversity was established for the guilds of bacterial feeders Bf<sub>2</sub> and fungal feeders Fu<sub>2</sub>, followed by epidermal and root hair feeders Pf-e and omnivores Om<sub>4</sub>. Regardless of the rich undergrowth vegetation in the studied localities, few plant parasitic specimens and species were found and some of the taxonomic groups common for the temperate region were not represented.

**Key words:** soil nematode, species composition, trophic groups, functional guilds, *Fagus orientalis*

**Abbreviations:** Ba – bacterial feeders; Ba<sub>1</sub>, Ba<sub>2</sub>, Ba<sub>3</sub> and Ba<sub>4</sub> – bacterial feeders from functional guilds with c-p value 1, 2, 3 and 4; BP – Protected Zone “Bjalata prast”; Fu – fungal feeders; Fu<sub>2</sub>, Fu<sub>3</sub>, Fu<sub>4</sub> and Fu<sub>5</sub> – fungal feeders from functional guilds with c-p value 2, 3, 4 and 5; MR – Protected Zone “Marina reka”; Om – omnivorous; Om<sub>4</sub> and Om<sub>5</sub> – omnivorous from functional guilds with c-p value 4 and 5; Pf – plant feeders; Pf-a – sedentary endoparasites; Pf-d – ectoparasites; Pf-e – epidermal cell and root hair feeders; PR – locality “Propada”; Ca – predators; Ca<sub>2</sub>, Ca<sub>3</sub>, Ca<sub>4</sub> and Ca<sub>5</sub> – predators from functional guilds with c-p value 2, 3, 4 and 5

### Introduction

“Strandzha” Natural Park in southeast Bulgaria is the largest protected territory in the country (1161 km<sup>2</sup>). The specific sub-Mediterranean climate is significantly influenced by the Black Sea on the north slopes of the mountain. The average temperature in January is relatively high: 2–3.2°C. The an-

nual precipitation is also relatively high (average between 500–1000 mm) with a well pronounced winter maximum. Forests cover 80% of the park’s territory. Many of the plants are relicts of the Tertiary period, preserved because of their remote location with respect to northern glaciations in the Quaternary. More than 56 types of habitats were identified in the park (Biserkov and Gussev, 2011).

\*E-mail: iliyan.l@abv.bg

The park's soil nematode fauna was investigated in three different studies (Gateva et al., 1991; Iliev, 1992; Lazarova et al., 1998) and 10 species were reported. Its composition remains largely unknown, since there are currently about 600 soil nematode species reported in the country (Ilieva and Iliev, 2000).

The aim of the present study is to describe the species composition and the trophic and functional structure of the soil nematode communities in three forests with edificator *Fagus orientalis* Lipsky in "Strandzha" Natural Park.

## Materials and Methods

### Sampling sites

**Protected zone "Marina reka"** – 250 m a.s.l., N 42°06'41,7" E 27°45'53"; Soil type: Haplic Alysols; Soil texture: light sand-clay (20–30% of physical clay particles < 0.01 mm after Kachinski, 1970); pH: 4.9 in H<sub>2</sub>O; Vegetation: forest of *Oriental beech* – *Fagus orientalis* Lipsky and Oriental durmast – *Quercus polycarpa* Schur. with dense undergrowth of evergreen bushes of *Rhododendron ponticum* L. and single *Daphne pontica* L., *Ruscus hypoglossum* L., *Cyclamen coum* Mill., and *Lusula* sp.

**Protected Zone "Bjalata prust"** – 278 m a.s.l., N42°05'47,7" E27°39'55"; Soil type: Haplic Alysols; Soil texture: light sand-clay (20–30% of physical clay particles < 0.01 mm after Kachinski, 1970); pH: 4.7 in H<sub>2</sub>O; Vegetation: *Oriental beech* – *F. orientalis* with undergrowth of Caucasian whortleberry – *Vaccinium arctostaphylos* L. and pincushion moss – *Leucobryum glaucum* (Hedw.) Angstr.

**Location "Propada"** – 385; a.s.l., N41°58'54" E27°29'32"; Soil type: Chromic Cambisols; Soil texture: light to medium sand-clay (20–40% of physical clay particles < 0.01 mm after Kachinski, 1970); pH: 5.3 in H<sub>2</sub>O; Vegetation: *Oriental beech* – *F. orientalis* and single trees of European hornbeam *Capinus betulus* L., with undergrowth of *D. pontica*, *C. coum*, *Asperula odorata* L., and others.

### Sampling, Extraction and Processing

Ten bulk samples were collected in each locality in months IV, VI, VIII, X and XI, 2009 and IV, VI, VIII and X, 2010. Each bulk sample consisted of 10 soil auger subsamples taken at 20 cm depth. Nematodes were extracted from the soil using a modified Bearman method with 72 h exposition (Bezooijen, 2006), counted alive and fixed gently at 50°C with 4% formaldehyde. Up to 200 nematodes per sample were mounted on temporary slides (Paramonov, 1963) for quantitative analysis. The rest of the specimens were mounted on permanent slides in glycerol (Seinhorst, 1959).

### Analysis

The diversity of the nematodes in the different localities was assessed. The nematodes were categorized according to their trophic group (Yeates et al., 1993 with corrections of Okada et al., 2002, 2005; Okada and Kadota, 2003) and type of life strategy (Bongers and Bongers, 1998; Bongers, 1999). The similarity between the studied habitats was measured by the Sørensen index (Sørensen, 1957).

## Results

In the studied habitats, 134 species of 38 families and 12 orders were identified (Table 1). Nineteen species marked in the Table 1 with “#” are new for the Bulgarian fauna. All of them are rare satellite species. The genus *Kochinema* is also new for the fauna of the country. Ninety four species marked with “\*” are new for “Strandzha” park.

The PR habitat is most diverse with 104 species followed by MR with 81 species and BP with 49 species. Only 29 species are common for all habitats.

In the functional guilds, the species diversity and the similarity were variable. For the period of the study, Bf1 were generally represented by juveniles. Four of the 6 identified species were common for the habitats of MR and PR and only one was found in BP. The most diverse guild of bacterial feeders was Bf2 with 23 species, 8 of which were common for all three localities.

Fourty-two species of bacterial feeders were found in the three habitats. The richest guild was Bf2 and the similarity between the studied habitats was relatively high (Table 2). Generally, with respect to the Bf guilds, the MR and PR habitats had more common species in comparison with BP.

Twenty-seven species of fungal feeders were found in the habitats. The most diverse guild was Fu<sub>2</sub> and again the similarity between the studied habitats was relatively high (Table 2). Only in MR, the guild Fu3 was represented by a single species: *D. vanoyei*. Only two species of the Fu4 guild were common for all studied habitats although the similarity between each couple of sites was relatively high – above 57%.

Twenty-one omnivorous species were established, with Om4 as the richest guild. Again, relavely higher similarity was observed between MR and PR while BP had lower similarity to both of these habitats.

Predatory nematodes comprised 21 species. The diversity in MR and PR was high and these two habitats showed high similarity (100%), while BP was characterized by fewer species and variable similarity levels (Table 2).

Plant feeding nematodes were represented by 21 species and their diversity in the studied habitats was dif-

**Table 1**

Species list of the established nematodes with number of positives samples at the studied localities, total frequency of occurrence [%] in beech forests of "Strandzha" Park (Trophic groups – Bf – bacterial feeders; Fu – fungal feeders; Om – omnivores; Ca – carnivores; Pf-a – sedentary plant parasites; Pf-d – ectoparasites; Pf-e – epidermal and root hair feeders). (cp = allocation of species to cp groups 1–5)

Trophic group	c-p	Species/Family/Order	Locality			Frequensy, %
1	2	3	MR	BP	PR	
<b>Ordo DORYLAIMIDA Pearse, 1942</b>						
		<b>APORCELAIMIDAE Heyns, 1965</b>				
Om	5	<i>Aporcelaimellus obtusicaudatus</i> (Bastian, 1865)	73	69	82	83%
Om	5	* <i>Aporcelaimellus paraobtusicaudatus</i> (Micoletzky, 1922)	0	14		5.2%
Om	5	* <i>Aporcelaimellus</i> sp.			38	14%
Ca	5	* <i>Aporcelaimus</i> cf. ( <i>superbus</i> (de Man, 1880))	43	28	41	41.5%
Ca	5	# <i>Metaporcelaimus labiatus</i> (de Man, 1880)	23		34	21%
Ca	5	* <i>Metaporcelaimus parangalitzi</i> (Ilieva et Eliava, 1993)	29		21	19%
Ca	5	* <i>Paraxonchium laetificans</i> (Andrassy, 1956)	31	13	22	24%
Ca	5	# <i>Sectonema</i> cf. <i>demani</i> Altherr, 1965	15		23	14.1%
		<b>DORYLAIMIDAE de Man, 1876</b>				
Ca	5	* <i>Mesodorylaimus bastiani</i> (Buetschli, 1873)	14		22	13.3%
Ca	5	<i>Mesodorylaimus meyli</i> (Andrassy, 1958)		14	19	12%
Ca	5	# <i>Prodorylaimus acris</i> (Thorne, 1939)	14			5.2%
		<b>LONGIDORIDAE Thorne, 1935</b>				
Pp	5	* <i>Longidorus intermedius</i> Kozlowska and Seinhorst, 1979	9	11		7.4%
		<b>NORDIIDAE Jairajpuri et Siddiqi, 1964</b>				
Om	4	# <i>Enchodelus altherri</i> Vinciguerra et de Francisci, 1973			11	4.1%
Om	4	## <i>Kochinema longum</i> Argo et Van den Berg, 1971			14	5%
Pf-d	4	<i>Pungentus silvestris</i> (de Man, 1912)	61			22.6%
		<b>NYGOLAIMIDAE Thorne, 1935</b>				
Ca	5	* <i>Nygolaimus brachyuris</i> (de Man, 1880)	21		17	14.1%
		<b>QUDSIANEMATIDAE Jairajpuri, 1965</b>				
Om	4	* <i>Allodorylaimus andrassyi</i> (Meyl, 1955)	25		19	16.3%
Om	4	* <i>Ecumenicus monhystera</i> (de Man, 1880)	31		16	17%
Om	4	# <i>Epidorylaimus lugdunensis</i> (De Man, 1880)	21	12	16	18.1%
Om	4	* <i>Eudorylaimus iners</i> Bastian, 1865	9			3%
Om	4	# <i>Eudorylaimus jurassicus</i> (Altherr, 1953)			12	4.4%
Om	4	# <i>Eudorylaimus</i> cf. ( <i>paramonovi</i> Eliava et Bagaturia, 1968)			7	3%
Om	4	* <i>Eudorylaimus similis</i> (de Man, 1876)	14			5.2%
Om	4	* <i>Eudorylaimus</i> sp.1	8	13	15	13%
Om	4	* <i>Eudorylaimus</i> sp.2			7	2.6%
Om	4	# <i>Microdorylaimus modestus</i> (Altherr, 1952)	18		23	15%
Om	4	* <i>Microdorylaimus parvus</i> (de Man, 1880)	26		21	17.4%
Om	4	* <i>Takamangai ettersbergensis</i> (De Man, 1885)	32			12%
Om	4	# <i>Takamangai rhopalocercus</i> (de Man, 1876)			13	4.8%
		<b>TYLENCHOLAIMIDAE Siddiqi, 1969</b>				
Fu	4	* <i>Tylencholaimus minimus</i> de Man 1876			37	13.7%
Fu	4	* <i>Tylencholaimus mirabilis</i> (Buetschli, 1873)	29	17		17%
Fu	4	# <i>Tylencholaimus proximus</i> Thorne, 1939			13	4.8%

\* new record for the Strandja region

# new record for the Bulgarian fauna

Table 1

continued

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Fu	4	# <i>Tylencholaimus teres</i> Thorne, 1939	32	19	51	38%
Fu	4	# <i>Tylencholaimus terrestris</i> Peña-Santiago & Coomans, 1996	18	6	33	21.1%
<b>Ordo MONONCHIDA Jairajpuri, 1969</b>						
<b>ANATONCHIDAE Jairajpuri, 1969</b>						
Ca	4	* <i>Anatonchus</i> sp.			11	4.1%
Ca	4	<i>Miconchus studeri</i> (Steiner, 1914)	41	17	22	29.6%
<b>MONONCHIDAE Filipjev, 1934</b>						
Ca	4	<i>Clarcus papillatus</i> (Bastian, 1865)	77	51	61	70.0%
Ca	4	* <i>Coomansus parvus</i> (de Man, 1880)		22	37	21.9%
Ca	4	* <i>Prionchulus muscorum</i> (Dujardin, 1845)		33	39	26.7%
<b>MYLONCHULIDAE Jairajpuri, 1969</b>						
Ca	4	<i>Mylonchulus brachyurus</i> (Buetschli, 1873)	14		17	11.5%
Ca	4	* <i>Mylonchulus sigmaturus</i> (Cobb, 1917)	31			11.5%
Ca	4	# <i>Mylonchulus signaturellus</i> Mulvey, 1961	16			5.9%
<b>Ordo TRIPLOCHONCHIDA Cobb, 1920</b>						
<b>DIPHTEROPHORIDAE Micoletzky, 1922</b>						
Fu	3	* <i>Diphterophora vanoyei</i> de Coninck, 1931	12			4.4%
<b>PRISMATOLAIMIDAE Micoletzky, 1922</b>						
Bf	3	* <i>Prismatolaimus intermedius</i> (Buetschli, 1873)	19	21	17	21.1%
<b>TRIPYLIIDAE de Man, 1876</b>						
Ca	3	* <i>Tripyla affinis</i> de Man, 1880	8	13	16	13.7%
Ca	3	<i>Tripyla filicaudata</i> de Man, 1880	19		9	10.4%
Ca	3	* <i>Tripyla glomerans</i> Bastian, 1865			15	5.6%
Ca	3	* <i>Tripyla infia</i> Brzeski & Winiszewska-Slipinska 1993	7	2		3.3%
Ca	3	<i>Tripyla setifera</i> Buetschli, 1873			13	4.8%
Ca	3	* <i>Tripylella intermedia</i> (Butschli, 1873)		8		3.0%
Ca	3	# <i>Trischistoma monohystera</i> Andrássy 1967			6	2.2%
<b>Ordo AREOLAIMIDA de Coninck et Sch. Stekhoven, 1933</b>						
<b>DIPLOPELTIDAE Filipjev, 1918</b>						
Bf	3	<i>Cylindrolaimus communis</i> de Man, 1880	21		9	11.1%
<b>Ordo CHROMADORIDA Chitwood, 1933</b>						
<b>ACHROMADORIDAE Gerlach &amp; Riemann, 1973</b>						
Bf	3	* <i>Achromadora micoletzkyi</i> (Stefanski, 1915)	5			1.9%
Bf	3	# <i>Achromadora pseudomicoletzkyi</i> van der Linde, 1938			4	1.5%
<b>Ordo ENOPLIDA Chitwood, 1933</b>						
<b>ALAIMIDAE Micoletzky, 1922</b>						
Bf	4	* <i>Alaimus parvus</i> Thorne, 1939	22		10	11.9%
Bf	4	* <i>Alaimus primitivus</i> De Man, 1880	16		19	13.0%
Bf	4	# <i>Paramphidelus uniformis</i> (Thorne, 1939)			21	7.8%
<b>Ordo MONHYSTERIDA de Coninck et Sch. Stekhoven, 1933</b>						
<b>MONHYSTERIDAE de Man, 1876</b>						
Bf	3	<i>Eumonhystera</i> cf ( <i>filiformis</i> (Bastian, 1865))			12	4.4%
Bf	3	* <i>Geomonystera villosa</i> (Buetschli, 1873)	41	36	61	51.1%

\* new record for the Strandja region

# new record for the Bulgarian fauna

Table 1

continued

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
<b>Ordo DESMODORIDA de Coninck, 1965</b>						
<b>DESMODORIDAE Filipjev, 1922</b>						
Bf	3	<i>Prodesmodora circulata</i> (Micoletzky, 1913) Micoletzky, 1925			8	3.0%
<b>Ordo PLECTIDA Malakhov, 1982</b>						
<b>AULOLAIMIDAE Jairajpuri &amp; Hooper, 1968</b>						
Bf	3	* <i>Aulolaimus oxycephalus</i> de Man, 1880			31	11.5%
<b>BASTIANIDAE De Coninck, 1935</b>						
Bf	3	* <i>Bastiania gracilis</i> De Man, 1876		25	17	15.6%
<b>ODONTOLAIMIDAE Gerlach et Riemann, 1974</b>						
Bf	3	* <i>Odontolaimus chlorurus</i> de Man, 1880			21	7.8%
<b>PLECTIDAE Örley, 1880</b>						
Bf	2	* <i>Anaplectus granulosus</i> (Bastian, 1865)		31	26	21.1%
Bf	2	* <i>Ceratoplectus armatus</i> (Bütschli, 1873)	0	22	41	23.3%
Bf	2	* <i>Ceratoplectus assimilis</i> (Bütschlii, 1873)	0	19		7.0%
Bf	2	# <i>Chiloplectus andrassyi</i> (Timm, 1971)	27		15	15.6%
Bf	2	* <i>Plectus acuminatus</i> Bastian, 1865	41	19	59	44.1%
Bf	2	<i>Plectus cirratus</i> Bastian, 1865	18	22	31	26.3%
Bf	2	* <i>Plectus geophilus</i> de Man, 1880		14	9	8.5%
Bf	2	* <i>Plectus longicaudatus</i> Buetschli, 1873	44	27	48	44.1%
Bf	2	* <i>Plectus minimus</i> Cobb, 1893	14		21	13.0%
Bf	2	* <i>Plectus parietinus</i> Bastian, 1865			19	7.0%
Bf	2	* <i>Plectus parvus</i> Bastian, 1865	21	17	34	26.7%
Bf	2	* <i>Plectus rhizophilus</i> de Man, 1880	13	6		7.0%
Bf	2	* <i>Tylocephalus auriculatus</i> (Buetschli, 1873)		16	20	13.3%
<b>TERATOCEPHALIDAE Andrassy, 1958</b>						
Bf	3	* <i>Teratocephalus costatus</i> Andrassy, 1958	14		9	8.5%
Bf	3	* <i>Teratocephalus terrestris</i> (Buetschli, 1873)		8		3.0%
<b>Ordo RHABDITIDA Chitwood, 1933</b>						
<b>CEPHALOBIDAE Filipjev, 1934</b>						
Bf	2	* <i>Acrobeles ciliatus</i> Linstow, 1877	29		21	18.5%
Bf	2	* <i>Acrobeloides nanus</i> (de Man, 1880) Anderson, 1968	38	45	51	49.6%
Bf	2	# <i>Acrobelophis minimus</i> (Thorne, 1925) Andrassy, 1984	13			4.8%
Bf	2	* <i>Cephalobus persegnis</i> Bastian, 1865	21		30	18.9%
Bf	2	* <i>Eucephalobus mucronatus</i> (Kozlowska & Roguska-Wasilewska, 1963) Andrassy, 1967	24	33	51	40.0%
Bf	2	* <i>Eucephalobus oxyurooides</i> (de Man, 1876) Steiner, 1936	14	22	29	24.1%
Bf	2	* <i>Heterocephalobus elongatus</i> (de Man, 1880) Andrassy, 1967	31	20		18.9%
Bf	2	* <i>Stegelletina devimucronata</i> (Sumenkova, 1964) Bostroem et de Ley, 1996			15	5.6%
<b>NEODIPLOGASTRIDAE Paramonov, 1952</b>						
Bf	1	<i>Fictor factor</i> (Bastan, 1965) Paramonov, 1957			17	6.3%
Bf	1	* <i>Pristionchus lheriti</i> (Maupas, 1919) Paramonov, 1952	8		19	10.0%
<b>PANAGROLAIMIDAE Thorne, 1937</b>						
Bf	1	* <i>Panagrolaimus multidentatus</i> (Ivanova, 1958) (Ivanova, 1976)	4		12	5.9%
Bf	1	* <i>Panagrolaimus rigidus</i> (Schneider, 1866) Thorne, 1937	18		13	11.5%
<b>RHABDITIDAE Örley, 1880</b>						

\* new record for the Strandja region

# new record for the Bulgarian fauna

Table 1

continued

Bf	1	* <i>Mesorhabditis spiculigera</i> (Steiner, 1936) Dougherty, 1953	31	36	29	35.6%
Bf	1	* <i>Rhabditis terricola</i> Dujardin, 1845	8			3.0%
Bf	1	# <i>Ablechroiulus</i> sp.	6	10		5.9%
		<b>STEINERNEMATIDAE Filipjev, 1934</b>				
IvS		<i>Steinerinema</i> sp.	41	8	19	25.2%
		<b>Ordo APHELENCHIDA Siddiqi, 1980</b>				
		<b>APHELENCHIDAE Fuchs, 1865</b>				
Fu	2	* <i>Aphelenchus avenae</i> Bastian, 1865			31	11.5%
		<b>APHELENCHOIDIDAE (Scarbilovich, 1947) Paramonov, 1953</b>				
Fu	2	* <i>Aphelenchoides composticola</i> Franklin, 1957	23			8.5%
Fu	2	* <i>Aphelenchoides saprophilus</i> Franklin, 1957	18	11	29	21.5%
Fu	2	* <i>Aphelenchoides ritzemabosi</i> (Schwartz, 1911) Steiner et Buhrer, 1932			7	2.6%
Fu	2	* <i>Aphelenchoides</i> sp.1	25		12	13.7%
		<b>PARAPHALENCHIDAE Goodey, 1951</b>				
Fu	2	* <i>Paraphelenchus pseudoparrietinus</i> (Micoletzky, 1922) Micoletzky, 1925			22	8.1%
		<b>Ordo TYLENCHIDA Thorne, 1949</b>				
		<b>ANGUINIDAE Nicoll, 1935</b>				
Fu	2	* <i>Ditylenchus acutus</i> (Khan, 1965) Fortuner et Maggenti, 1987	31	45	19	35.2%
Fu	2	* <i>Ditylenchus brevicauda</i> (Micoletzky, 1925) Filipjev, 1936			16	5.9%
Fu	2	* <i>Ditylenchus intermedius</i> (de Man, 1880) Filipjev, 1936	19		28	17.4%
Fu	2	* <i>Ditylenchus myceliophagus</i> Goodey, 1958	31	22	41	34.8%
Fu	2	# <i>Ditylenchus longicauda</i> Choi & Geraert, 1988	22			8.1%
Fu	2	# <i>Ditylenchus longimatricalis</i> (Kazachenko, 1975) Brzeski, 1984	42	13	30	31.5%
Fu	2	* <i>Ditylenchus</i> sp.1			6	2.2%
Fu	2	* <i>Ditylenchus</i> cf. ( <i>anchilisposomus</i> (Tarjan, 1958) Fortuner, 1982)			18	6.7%
Fu	2	* <i>Ditylenchus</i> sp.3			4	1.5%
Fu	2	* <i>Ditylenchus</i> sp.4			3	1.1%
		<b>BELONOLAIMIDAE Whitehead, 1960</b>				
Pf-d	3	* <i>Geocenamus microdorus</i> (Geraert, 1966) Brzeski, 1991	41		69	40.7%
Pf-d	3	* <i>Geocenamus nothus</i> (Allen, 1955) Brzeski, 1991			34	12.6%
		<b>CRICONEMATIDAE Taylor, 1936</b>				
Pf-d	3	* <i>Criconema</i> sp.			4	1.5%
Pf-d	3	<b>Ogma menzeli</b> (Stefanski, 1924) Sch. Stekhoven et Teunissen, 1938			24	8.9%
Pf-d	3	# <i>Ogma murrayi</i> Southern, 1914		12		4.4%
Pf-d	3	* <i>Ogma</i> sp.			9	3.3%
		<b>HETERODERIDAE Filipjev et Sch. Stekhoven, 1941</b>				
Pf-a	3	* <i>Meloidogyne</i> sp			26	9.6%
		<b>NEOTYLENCHIDAE Thorne, 1941</b>				
Iv/Fu	2	* <i>Deladenus durus</i> (Cobb, 1922) Thorne, 1949			7	2.6%
		<b>TYLENCHULIDAE Scarbilovich, 1947</b>				
Pf-d	2	* <i>Gracilaculus goodeyi</i> (Oostenbrink, 1953) Raski, 1962	15		37	19.3%
		<b>TYLENCHIDAE Orley, 1880</b>				
Pf-e	2	* <i>Aglenchus agricola</i> (de Man, 1884) Meyl, 1961	18			6.7%
Pf-e	2	* <i>Basiria duplexa</i> (Hagemeyer & Allen, 1952) Geraert, 1968	22		30	19.3%
Pf-e	2	# <i>Basiria flandriensis</i> Geraert, 1968	12		2	5.2%
Pf-e	2	* <i>Basiria graminophila</i> Siddiqi, 1959			38	14.1%

\* new record for the Strandja region

# new record for the Bulgarian fauna

**Table 1**

continued

Pf-e	2	* <i>Basiria</i> sp.			7	2.6%
Pf-e	2	* <i>Boleodorus thylactus</i> Thorne 1941	11			4.1%
Pf-e	2	* <i>Boleodorus volutus</i> Lima et Siddiqi, 1963			14	5.2%
Fu	2	* <i>Filenchus discrepans</i> (Andrássy, 1954) Raski et Geraert, 1986	51	29	67	54.4%
Fu	2	* <i>Filenchus misellus</i> (Andrássy, 1958) Raski & Geraert, 1987	41			15.2%
Fu	2	# <i>Filenchus quartus</i> (Szczygiel, 1969) Lownsberry et Lownsberry, 1985	24	31	24	29.3%
Fu	2	* <i>Filenchus</i> sp.			1	0.4%
Fu	2	* <i>Filenchus vulgaris</i> (Brzeski, 1963) Lownsberry et Lownsberry, 1985	17		9	9.6%
Pf-e	2	* <i>Lelenchus leptosoma</i> (de Man, 1880) Meyl, 1961	12		25	13.7%
Pf-e	2	# <i>Malenchus andrassyi</i> Merny, 1970			19	7.0%
Pf-e	2	* <i>Malenchus bryophilus</i> (Steiner, 1914) Andrassy, 1980	37	39	29	38.9%
Pf-e	2	* <i>Neopsilenchus magnidens</i> (Thorne, 1949) Thorne et Malek, 1968	12		52	23.7%

\* new record for the Strandja region

# new record for the Bulgarian fauna

**Table 2****Similarity of the species in the different functional guilds and trophic groups in the three studied habitats**

Guild	Total number of species in the guild	Sørensen index for each couple of habitats			Common species for all habitats
		MR/BP	MR/PR	BP/PR	
Bf1	6	33%	80%	33%	2
Bf2	23	67%	59%	71%	8
Bf3	10	29%	50%	36%	1
Bf4	3	0%	80%	0%	0
Fu2	21	67%	60%	50%	6
Fu3	1	0%	0%	—	0
Fu4	5	67%	57%	57%	2
Om4	18	27%	58%	35%	2
Om5	3	67%	67%	50%	1
Ca3	7	67%	50%	25%	1
Ca4	8	44%	55%	80%	2
Ca5	6	50%	100%	50%	2
Pf-a	1	—	—	—	0
Pf-b	0	—	—	—	
Pf-c	0	—	—	—	
Pf-d	9	25%	44%	0%	0
Pf-e	11	22%	53%	20%	1
IvS	2	100%	67%	67%	1

ferent. Again MR and PR were characterized by more ectoparasites and root hair feeders than BP. Perhaps this was a result of the less diverse, scarcer undergrowth in the BP forest. In MR, there are small grasses and dense bush vegetation. In PR, the grass vegetation is richer. Nevertheless, several groups of plant parasitic nematodes which are common in both antropogenic and natural habitats in

Bulgaria were absent from all of studied localities (e.g. species of Hoplolaimidae and Pratylenchidae.). Perhaps there are no host plants of these groups on the studied sites and/or the specific edafic conditions are not suitable for their development.

**Discussion**

In previous studies of the soil nematode fauna of the park, Gateva et al. (1991) reported 7 species of family Criconematidae but most of them were in different habitats and localities and only *Ogma menzeli* was found again. Iliev (1992) and Lazarova et al. (1998) reported 3 species of *Tripyla* and in the present study *T. filicaudata* and *T. setifera* were confirmed. Several other species were reported from Veleka River which passes through the territory of the park (Stoychev et al., 2011).

The soil nematode communities in the studied beech forest habitats are less diverse in comparison with habitats in Slovakia (Háněl and Čerevková, 2010, 2010) or similar in diversity (Popovici, 1989; Alphei, 1998) but distinct for the Strandzha region. The specific soil type established in MR and BP (Haplic Alysols) is generally very rare in Europe and its nematode fauna is unknown. The above ground vegetation also differs with regard to the edificatory species (*F. orientalis*), the undergrowth vegetation (evergreen tertiary relicts such as *Rhododendron ponticum*, *Daphne pontica*, *Vaccinium arctostaphylos*) and the generally poor grass cover. More diverse communities of nematodes are expected in other habitats (oak and mixed forests; pastures) and the relative nematode diversity in “Strandzha” Park should be at least twice higher than the one confirmed in the present study.

### Acknowledgements

The study is supported by Bulgarian Science Fund, Ministry of Education and Science, project of No: ДО 02-159/16.12.08.

### References

- Alphei, J.**, 1998. Differences in soil nematode community structure of beech forests: Comparison between a mull and a moder soil. *Applied Soil Ecology*, **9**: 9–15.
- Biserkov H. and C. Gussev** (Edt.), 2011. *Red Data Book of the Republic of Bulgaria. Vol. 3 - Natural habitats*. Joint edition of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences and Ministry of Environment and Water, Sofia, <http://e-ecodb.bas.bg/rdb/en/vol3/>
- Bongers, T.**, 1999. Maturity index, the evolution of nematode life history traits, adaptive radiation and cp-scaling. *Plant and Soil*, **212**: 13–22.
- Bongers, T. and M. Bongers**, 1998. Functional diversity of nematodes. *Applied Soil Ecology*, **10**: 239–251.
- Ferris, H., T. Bongers R. G. M. de Goede**, 2001. A framework for soil food web diagnostics: extension of the nematode faunal analysis concept. *Applied Soil Ecology*, **18**: 13–29.
- Háněl, L. and A. Čerevková**, 2010. Species and genera of soil nematodes in forest ecosystems of the Vihorlat Protected Landscape Area, Slovakia. *Helminthologia*, **47** (2): 123–135.
- Iliev, I.**, 1992. Contribution to phytонematode fauna of Bulgaria. *Annuaire de L'Universite de Sofia, "St. Kliment Onridski" Faculte de Biologie, Livre1 Zoologie*, **83**: 27–32 (Bg).
- Ilieva, Zh. And I. Iliev**, 2000. List of the plant parasitic and free living nematodes registered in Bulgaria till 1996. *Annuaire de L'Universite de Sofia, "St. Kliment Onridski" Faculte de Biologie, Livre1 Zoologie*, **91**: 49–82.
- Katalan-Gateva, Sh., A. Aleksiev, I. liev and Zh. Ilieva**, 1991. On the species composition and distribution of the family *Criconematidae* (Taylor, 1936) Thorne, 1949 (*Nematoda*) in Bulgaria. *Acta Zoologica Bulgarica*, **41**: 53–57.
- Katchinski, N. A.**, 1970. *Physics of soil. Part 1*. Ed. "Vishaya schola", Moskow. 340 pp. (Ru).
- Lazarova, S., V. Peneva and R. Neilson**, 1998. Nematodes of the genus *Tripyla* Bastian, 1865 (Nematoda: Enoploga) from woodlands in Bulgaria. *Russian Journal of Nematology*, **6** (2): 165–174.
- Okada, H., T. Tsukiboshi and I. Kadota**, 2002. Mycetophagy in *Filenchus misellus* (Andrassy, 1958) Lownsbery et Lownsbery, 1985 (Nematoda: Tylenchidae), with notes on its morphology. *Nematology*, **4**: 795–801.
- Okada, H. and I. Kadota**, 2003. Host status of 10 fungal isolates for two nematode species, *Filenchus misellus* and *Aphelenchus avenae*. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, **35**: 1601–1607.
- Okada, H., H. Harada and I. Kadota**, 2005. Fungal-feeding habits of six nematode isolates in the genus *Filenchus*. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **37** (6): 1113–1120.
- Paramonov, A. A.**, 1963. Method of processing of semitemporal slides with methylene blue stain. In: *Methods of investigations of soil nematodes and parasites of plants and insects*. 128–129. Edition of Zoological Institute of ASci USSR, Leningrad (Ru).
- Popovici, I.**, 1989. Soil nematode communities in the Carpathian beech forests of Romania. *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Biologia*, **34**: 38–44.
- Seinhorst, J. W.**, 1959. A rapid method for the transfer of nematodes from fixative to anhydrous glycerin. *Nematologica*, **4**: 67–69.
- Sørensen, T.**, 1957. A method of establishing groups of equal amplitude in plant sociology based on similarity of species and its application to analyses of the vegetation on Danish commons. *Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskab*, **5** (4): 1–34.
- Stoichev, S. and E. Varadinova**, 2011. Hydrobiological and faunistic investigation of the Nematoda fauna (Nematoda, Nemathelminthes) from Bulgarian stretch of the Veleka River (South East Bulgaria). *Annuaire de L'Universite de Sofia, "St. Kliment Onridski" Faculte de Biologie, Livre1 Zoologie*, **99**: 21–32.
- Yeates, G. W., T. Bongers, R. G. M. de Goede, D. W. Freckman and S. S. Georgieva**, 1993. Feeding habits in soil nematode families and genera – an outline for soil ecologists. *Journal of Nematology*, **25** (3): 315–331.
- Van Bezooijen, J.**, 2006. *Methods and Techniques for Nematology*. Manual. Edt. Department of Nematology, Wageningen University, The Netherlands, 108 pp.