Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science, 25 (Suppl. 1) 2019
Agricultural Academy

Feed additives in poultry nutrition

Vasil Pirgozliev[1*], Stephen Paul Rose[1], Sonya Ivanova[2]

[1]*Harper Adams University, The National Institute of Poultry Husbandry, TF10 8NB, UK*
[2]*Agricultural Academy, Sofia 1373, Bulgaria*
**Corresponding author:* vpirgozliev@harper-adams.ac.uk

Abstract

Pirgozliev, V., Rose, S. P., & Ivanova, S. (2019). Feed additives in poultry nutrition, *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science, 25*(Suppl 1), 8–11

The use of feed additives to improve the efficiency of growth and/or eggs production, prevent disease and improve feed utilization is a strategy to improve the efficiency of the poultry industry. Feed additives may not enter the market in Europe unless authorisation has been given following a scientific evaluation. The use and development of enzymes, phytogenics, prebiotics and probiotics has gained momentum in poultry feeding. The enzymes widely used by the industry are the non-starch polysaccharidases that cleave the non-starch polysaccharides in viscous cereals, microbial phytases that target the phytate-complexes in plant ingredients. Proteases are of interest to improve protein and amino acid digestibility, particularly in very young animals. Phytogenics are an alternative to in-feed antibiotics to prevent the risk of developing pathogens and also to satisfy consumer demand for a food chain free of drugs. Probiotic feed additives generally consist of one single strain or a combination of several strains of bacteria, Bacillus spores or yeasts species. Prebiotics are non-digestible food ingredients, such as fructo-oligo-saccharides, xylo-oligo-saccharides, mannan-oligo-saccharides and galacto-oligo- saccharides that are also used in feeds to protect poultry against pathogens. Future research needs to be directed towards understanding how combinations of these additives can be used to improve the efficiency of poultry production.

Keywords: enzymes; phytogenics; probiotics; prebiotics; poultry

Introduction

There are many challenges nowadays in poultry production, including food safety, environmental issues, standardizing welfare standards, ban of nutritive antibiotics, gut health, feeding rich in fiber ingredients and maintaining high efficiency of production. The cost of the feed accounts for approximately 70% of the cost in poultry production (Cooke, 1987), thus seems to be the most significant challenge for the poultry industry. If farmers are to be capable of feeding the estimated 9 billion people in the world by 2050 then they must find a way to produce relatively inexpensive high quality products, with lower environmental impact when feeding raw materials that do not compete with humans.

The use of feed additives able to improve the efficiency of growth and/or eggs production, prevent disease and improve feed utilization is an option to tackle the aforementioned challenges. The European Feed Standard Agency (EFSA) describes feed additives as products used in animal nutrition for purposes of improving the quality of feed and the quality of food from animal origin, or to improve the animals' performance and health, e.g. providing enhanced digestibility of the feed materials. Feed additives may not be put on the market unless authorization has been given following a scientific evaluation demonstrating that the additive has no harmful effects, on human and animal health and on the environment. EFSA recognizes five categories of feed additives including zootechnical (enzymes, probiotics, prebiotics, certain phytogenics), nutritional (vitamins and amino acids), technological (organic acids, antioxidants, pellet binders etc.), sensory additives (flavors) and coccidiostats. However, the use and development of enzymes, phytogenics and probiotics has gained momentum in poultry nutrition.

Exogenous enzymes

The zootechnical feed additives are a group of products that affect favorably the performance of animals in good health through improved digestibility or stabilized gut flora, or affect favorably the environment. Exogenous enzymes are within the most used feed additives. Enzymes are proteins that facilitate specific chemical reactions and work on specific substrates. The enzymes widely used by the industry are the non-starch polysaccharidases (NSPases) that cleave the non-starch polysaccharides (NSP) in viscous cereals (wheat, barley and triticale) and microbial phytases that target the phytate-complexes in plant ingredients (Ravindran & Son, 2011; Pirgozliev & Bedford, 2013). The majority of NSPs in wheat and rye are arabinoxylans (pentosans), whilst mixed-linked beta-D-glucans are the most nutritionally significant in barley. The physicochemical properties of the soluble, higher molecular weight NSP result in increased digesta viscosity which is correlated with reduced bird performance (Bedford, 2006; Pirgozliev et al., 2006). In particular, soluble viscous NSPs depress the digestibility of protein, starch and fat in broiler diets, an effect which is easily overcome through use of the appropriate enzyme (Bedford, 2006; Whiting et al., 2017). There is no vertebrate animal that produces enzymes capable of hydrolysing the NSP in diets. Dietary NSPases can break the bonds between sugar units of NSP and significantly reduce the gut content viscosity. Lower gut viscosity will lead to a more complete digestion and absorption of nutrients, reduced microbial proliferation and improved gut health (Pirgozliev et al., 2010; 2015a; Abdulla et al., 2017). The NSP degrading enzymes can also produce some oligosaccharides that may act as prebiotics in the gut of poultry (Masey O'Neill et al., 2014). Dietary NSPases can also improve the hepatic antioxidant capacity of broilers (Pirgozliev et al., 2015b).

Approximately 600 to 700 g/kg of the plant-P is present as phytate. Phytic acid (myo-inositol hexakis-dihydrogen-phosphate, IP6) is a polyanionic molecule with 6 phosphate groups and is capable of forming insoluble complexes with divalent cations, starch and protein, reducing their availability for poultry (Selle & Ravindran, 2007). Poultry can produce some endogenous phytase but this is insufficient for the effective hydrolysis of dietary phytates. The detrimental effects of phytates in the diets of poultry can be ameliorated by the addition of microbial phytases. Phytases (myo-inositol hexaphosphate phosphohydrolases) are enzymes that can hydrolyse the ester bonds between the phosphate groups and the inositol ring in phytates, increasing the dietary available P (Selle & Ravindran, 2007). The benefits of using dietary phytases are not restricted to the improvement of mineral retention but may improve performance and energy and amino acid availability (Selle & Ravindran, 2007; Pirgozliev et al., 2011). Research by Karadas et al. (2010) also found that dietary phytase can improve the hepatic antioxidant capacity of broilers.

In animal feed, protease supplementation is of interest to improve protein and amino acid digestibility, particularly in very young animals where the relative activity of endogenous proteases may not be optimal (Walk et al., 2018). In addition, protease supplementation may improve ingredient quality by reducing ingredient variability and mitigating negative effects of heat-stable trypsin-inhibitors or lectins (Cowieson et al., 2016). Exogenous protease supplementation is gaining in popularity in animal nutrition with beneficial effects on growth performance, nutrient digestibility and endogenous enzyme secretion. In addition, report by Olukosi et al. (2015) found that whereas protease by itself improved nutrient utilization and increased solubilization of NSP components, at the lower dose, a combination of xylanase, amylase, and protease produced effects greater than those of protease alone.

Tannase enzyme was recently supplemented to broiler feed in order to improve feeding value of diets containing field beans (Abdulla et al., 2016 a, b). Although results were promising, further research is warranted to study the effectiveness of tannase supplementation in poultry diet formulations by dose response trials with purified tannase preparations.

Phytogenics

Phytogenics, also referred to as plant secondary metabolites, phytochemicals, phytobiotics or botanicals, are plant-derived products/extracts and include a wide range of substances such as herbs, spices, essential oils and oleoresins, reported to exhibit growth promoting and/or therapeutic properties (Windisch et al., 2008; Bravo et al., 2014; Pirgozliev et al., 2015 c). Initially, phytogenics have been extensively studied because of the adverse effects that they have when ingested by animals (Acamovic & Brooker, 2005). However, the use of phytogenics as an alternative to in-feed antibiotics to prevent the risk of developing pathogens resistant to antibiotics and also to satisfy consumer demand for a food chain free of drugs, in poultry production has gained recent interest (Dibner & Richards, 2005). The ability of phytogenics to contribute to the health of the host is well documented (Windisch et al., 2008); however, the exact mechanisms by which PE exerts its effects remain speculative (Pirgozliev et al., 2015d; Karadas et al., 2014). It should be noted, that phytogenics represent a diverse group of natural products, some of which may be nutritionally valuable but many of which have no nutritional value or even antinutritional properties. Although precise numbers are at best an estimate, of the over 100 000 different compounds of natural origin that have been described, approximately 80 000 are derived from plants (Acamovic & Brooker, 2005). Plant extracts also widely vary in their chemical structures. Since the effects of phytogenics depend to a great extent on the chemistry of the compounds, it is impossible to have a uniform explanation on their mode of action.

Probiotics and prebiotics

Probiotics are live microorganisms which are supplemented to the feed in order to establish a beneficial gut microflora (Fuller, 1992). A probiotic is defined as a live microbial feed supplement which beneficially affects the host animal by improving its intestinal microbial balance. In general, the introduction of the concept is attributed to the Nobel laureate Élie Metchnikoff. Probiotics are mainly active in the small intestine. Probiotic feed additives generally consist of one single strain or a combination of several strains of bacteria, *Bacillus* spores or yeasts species (multistrain). Preparations authorised for use in animal nutrition in the European Union include different strains of *Enterococcus, Bacillus, Lactobacillus, Pediococcus* or *Saccharomyces*. The mode of action of probiotic feed additives is mainly based on three principles including competitive exclusion, bacterial antagonism, and immune modulation (Patterson & Burkholder, 2003).

Prebiotics are non-digestible food ingredients (readily fermentable sugars), that beneficially affect the host by selectively stimulating the growth and/or activity of one or a limited number of bacteria in the colon (large intestine), and thus improve host health (Patterson & Burkholder, 2003). Most potential prebiotics are carbohydrates (such as oligosaccharides). Some prebiotics that are used in this manner against pathogens are fructo-oligo-saccharides (FOS), xylo-oligo-saccharides (XOS), mannan-oligo-saccharides (MOS) and galacto-oligo- saccharides (GOS).

Conclusion

Each of these feed additives has their specific benefits. Future research needs to not only identify new/or improved possibilities for poultry feeds, but also research is needed to understand how combinations of these additives can be used to improve the efficiency of poultry production.

References

Abdulla J., Rose, S. P., Mackenzie, A. M., Ivanova, S. G., Staykova, G. P., & Pirgozliev, V. (2016b). Nutritional value of raw and micronised field beans (*Vicia faba* L. var. minor) with and without enzyme supplementation containing tannase for growing chickens. *Archives of Animal Nutrition*, *70*(5), 350-363.

Abdulla, J., Rose, S. P., Mackenzie, A. M., Mirza, W., & Pirgozliev, V. (2016a). Exogenous tannase improves feeding value of diet containing field beans (*Vicia faba*) when fed to broilers. *British Poultry Science*, *57*, 246-250.

Abdulla, J., Rose, S. P., Mackenzie, A. M., & Pirgozliev, V. (2017). Feeding value of field beans (Vicia faba L. var. minor) with and without enzyme containing tannase, pectinase and xylanase activities for broilers. *Archives of Animal Nutrition, 71*, 150-164.

Acamovic, T., & Brooker, J. (2005). Biochemistry of plant secondary metabolites and their effects in animals. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, *64*(3), 403-412.

Bedford, M. R., (2006). Effect of non-starch polysaccharidases on avian gastrointestinal function. In: Perry GC, editor. Avian gut function in health and disease. Oxfordshire (UK), *Carfax Publishing Company*, 159-170.

Bravo, D., Pirgozliev, V., & Rose, S. P., (2014). A mixture of carvacrol, cinnamaldehyde, and capsicum oleoresin improves energy utilization and growth performance of broiler chickens fed maize-based diet. *Journal of Animal Science*, **92**, 1531-1536.

Cooke, B., (1987). The impact of declaration of the metabolizable energy (ME) value of poultry feeds, in: HARESING, W. & COLE, D.J.A. (Eds) Recent advances in animal nutrition, pp. 19-26 (London, Butterworths).

Cowieson, A. J., Lu, H., Ajuwon, K. M., Knap, I., & Adeola, O. (2016). Interactive effects of dietary protein source and exogenous protease on growth performance, immune competence and jejunal health of broiler chickens. *Animal Production & Science*, *57*, 252-261.

Dibner, J. J., & Richards, J. D. (2005). Antibiotic growth promoters in agriculture: history and mode of action. *Poultry science*, *84*(4), 634-643.

Fuller, R., (1992). History and development of probiotics. In Probiotics (pp. 1-8). Springer, Dordrecht.

Karadas, F., Pirgozliev, V., Pappas, A., Acamovic, T., & Bedford, M. R. (2010). Effects of different levels of dietary phytase activities on the concentration of antioxidants in the liver of growing broilers. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, *94*(4), 519-526.

Karadas, F., Pirgozliev, V., Rose, S. P., Dimitrov, D., Oduguwa, O., & Bravo, D. (2014). Dietary essential oils improve the hepatic anti-oxidative status of broiler chickens. *British Poultry Science*, *55*(3), 329-334.

Masey O'Neill, H. V., Smith, J. A., & Bedford, M. R. (2014). Multicarbohydrase enzymes for non-ruminants." asian-australasian. *Journal of animal sciences*, *27*(2), 290-301.

Olukosi, O. A., Beeson, L. A., Englyst, K., & Romero, L. F. (2015). Effects of exogenous proteases without or with carbohydrases on nutrient digestibility and disappearance of non-starch polysaccharides in broiler chickens. *Poultry Science*, *94*(11), 2662–2669.

Patterson, J. A., & Burkholder, K. M. (2003). Application of prebiotics and probiotics in poultry production. *Poultry science*, *82*(4), 627-631.

Pirgozliev, V., & Bedford, M. R. (2013). Energy utilisation and growth performance of chicken fed diets containing graded levels of supplementary bacterial phytase. *British Journal of Nutrition*, *109*, 248–253.

Pirgozliev, V., Bedford, M. R., Acamovic, T. (2010). The effect of dietary xylanase on energy, amino acid and mineral metabolism and egg production and quality in laying hens. *British Poultry Scienc*e, *51*, 639-647.

Pirgozliev, V., Bedford, M. R., Acamovic, T., Mares, P., & Allimehr, M. (2011). The effects of supplementary bacterial phytase on dietary energy and total tract amino acid digestibility when fed to young chickens. *British Poultry Science*, *52*, 245-254.

Pirgozliev, V., Beccaccia, A., Rose, S. P., & Bravo, D. (2015d). Partitioning of dietary energy of chickens fed maize or wheat based diets with and without a commercial blend of phytogenic feed additives. *Journal of Animal Science*, *93*(4),1695-702.

Pirgozliev, V., Bravo, D., Mirza, M. W., & Rose, S. P. (2015c). Growth performance and endogenous losses of broilers fed wheat based diets with and without essential oils and xylanase supplementation. *Poultry Science*, *94*, 1227-1232

Pirgozliev, V., Karadas, F., Rose, S. P., Beccaccia, A., Mirza, M. W., & Amerah, A. M. (2015b). Dietary xylanase increases hepatic vitamin E concentration of chickens fed wheat based diet. *Journal of Animals and Feed Sciences*, *24*, 80-84.

Pirgozliev, V., Rose, S. P., & Kettlewell, P. (2006). Effect of ambient storage of wheat samples on their nutritive value for chickens. *British Poultry Science*, *47*, 342-349.

Pirgozliev, V., Rose, S. P., Pellny, T., Amerah, A.M., Wickramasinghe, M., Ulker, M., Rakszegi, M., Bedo, Z., Shewry, P. R., & Lovegrove, A. (2015a). Energy utilization and growth performance of chickens fed novel wheat inbred lines selected for different pentosan levels with and without xylanase supplementation. *Poultry Science*, *94*, 232–239.

Ravindran, V., & Son, J. H. (2011). Feed enzyme technology: present status and future developments. *Recent patents on food, nutrition & agriculture*, *3*(2), 102-109.

Selle, P. H., & Ravindran, V. (2007). Microbial phytase in poultry nutrition. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, *135*, 1-41.

Walk C.L., Pirgozliev, V., Juntunen, K., Paloheimo, M., & Ledoux, D. R. (2018). Evaluation of novel protease enzymes on growth performance and apparent ileal digestibility of amino acids in poultry: enzyme screening, Poultry Science – in press.

Whiting, L.M., Pirgozliev, V., Rose, S. P., Wilson, J., Amerah, A. M., Ivanova, S. G., Staykova, G. P., Oluwatosin, O. O., & Oso, A. O. (2017). Nutrient availability of different batches of wheat distillers dried grains with solubles with and without exogenous enzymes for broiler chickens. *Poultry Science*, *96*, 574–580.

Windisch, W., Schedle, K., Plitzner, C., & Kroismayr, A. (2008). Use of phytogenic products as feed additives for swine and poultry. *Journal of Animal Science*, *86*, E140–E148.