GENETIC PARAMETERS FOR DIRECT AND MATERNAL EFFECTS AND AN ESTIMATION OF BREEDING VALUES FOR BIRTH WEIGHT OF HOLSTEIN FRIESIAN CALVES

A. KAYGISIZ¹, G. BAKIR² and I. YILMAZ³

¹ Kahramanmaras Sutcu Imam University, Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Turkey

² Yuzuncu Yil University, Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Van, Turkey

³ Igdir University, Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Turkey

Abstract

KAYGISIZ, A., G. BAKIR and I. YILMAZ, 2012. Genetic parameters for direct and maternal effects and an estimation of breeding values for birth weight of Holstein Friesian calves. *Bulg. J. Agric. Sci.*, 18: 117-124

In this study, genetic and phenotypic parameters for birth weight in Holstein calves raised at Tahirova and Polatli State Farms were estimated. Analysis of the results showed that the least squares means of birth weight were 38.71 \pm 3.56 and 37.53 \pm 2.09 kg for the calves raised at state farms at Tahirova and Polatli, respectively. The effects of birth year, season and sex on birth weight were significant (P < 0.05) or highly significant (P < 0.01). The effect of calving parity on birth weight was highly significant (P < 0.01) for state farm at Tahirova, but insignificant for state farm at Polatli. Direct heritability (h²_d), maternal heritability (h²_m), total heritability (h²_T) and the fraction of variance due to maternal permanent environmental effects (c²) were 0.15, 0.56, 0.12 and 0.0004, respectively for calves raised at state farm at Tahirova. Direct heritability (h²_d), maternal heritability (h²_m), total heritability (h²_T) and the fraction of variance due to maternal permanent environmental effects (c²) were 0.04, 0.002, 0.039 and 0.002, respectively for calves raised at state farm at Polatli. It was concluded that the effects of environmental factors should be eliminated for the implementation of effective selection program to be conducted on birth weight in Holstein calves.

Key words: Holstein calf, birth weight, heritability, repeatability

Introduction

Holstein cattle have been reared commonly for the milk production in Turkey at dairy cattle farms. The main breeding aim of this breed is to obtain milk production; however, male calves are to rear for beef production (Unalan, 2009). In cattle breeding, calf birth weight that is of great importance is used as an early selection criterion. Knowledge on body weight at early ages (until pre-weaning) in farm animals plays a vital role for genetically improving meat production (Kucuk and Eyduran, 2009; Eyduran et al., 2009; Karakus et al., 2010). Birth weight in farm animals is the characteristic that influences lifetime yields (Karakus et al., 2010). Additionally, this trait is an indicator of determination of calving difficulty. Some authors reported that the trait is highly correlated with first calving age (Kaygisiz, 1998; Akbulut et al., 1998).

Genetic and environmental factors (dam age, calving weight of dam, mothering ability, nutritional conditions of dam, litter size, gestation length, calving year, season, geographical region and altitude) may influence birth weight (Holland and Odge, 1998; Sakhare and Ingle, 1983; Sang et al., 1986). Information on these environmental factors affecting birth weight plays an important role for estimating accurate and reliable genetic parameters on the trait.

Information about genetic parameters for a genetic improvement program of farm animals is considerably important. Effects of environmental factors affecting characteristics should be investigated in prediction of genetic parameters (Javed et al., 2007; Kuthu et al., 2007). Many authors have reported heritability estimates with moderate level for birth weight, one of the most important characteristics.

The present paper aims to estimate genetic parameters belonging to direct and maternal effects influencing birth weight and to determine the effects of various environmental factors on birth weight of Holstein Friesian calves born at State Farms of Tahirova and Polatli in Turkey.

Material and Methods

Birth weight data of 3909 Holstein Friesian calves born in two different farms located at Polatli and Tahirova were evaluated.

Birth weights were taken within 24 h after calving. The effects of environmental factors on birth weight were investigated. Birth weight of calves were analyzed using the following linear model,

$$Y_{ijklm} = \mu + a_i + b_j + c_k + d_l + e_{ijklm}$$

where, $Y_{ijklm} = a$ vector connected to birth weight observations, $\mu =$ the population mean, $a_i = i^{th}$ calving year effect, $b_j = j^{th}$ calving season effect, $c_k = k^{th}$ parity effect, $d_l = 1$. sex effect, $e_{ijklm} =$ the random error normally distributed with mean zero and variance σ_e^2 .

Statistical analysis of the linear model was performed using GLM (General Linear Model) procedure of SAS package program. Significant differences were determined by Duncan's test.

The following model was used to estimate genetic parameters within the population (Mrode and Linear, 1996).

$$y = X_{h} + Z_{a} + W_{m} + S_{na} + e,$$

Where y is a vector of birth weight observation, b is a vector of fixed effects, a is an unknown random vector of additive genetic effects, m is a vector of random maternal additive genetic effects, pe is a vector of random maternal permanent environmental effects, S is design matrix, e is an unknown random vector of residuals, W is design matrix, X and Z are known as incidence matrices relating observations to b and a, respectively.

The variance-covariance structure of the model is as follows:

Where σ_A^2 is additive direct genetic variance, σ_{AM} is the additive direct and maternal genetic covariance, σ_M^2 is the additive maternal genetic variance, σ_{PE}^2 is the permanent environmental variance, σ_E^2 is the residual variance, **A** is the numerator relationship matrix, \mathbf{I}_{pe} an identity matrix with order number of calves, and \mathbf{I}_n an identity matrix with order number of records. The genetic correlations between direct and maternal genetic effects, direct heritability (h_d^2) , maternal heritability (h_m^2) , and total heritability (h_T^2) were calculated using the (co)variance components at convergence. Total heritability was calculated as defined by Willham, (1980) below:

 $h_{T}^{2} = [(\sigma_{A}^{2} + 0.5\sigma_{M}^{2} + 1.5\sigma_{AM})/(\sigma_{P}^{2})]$

Genetic parameters, (co)variance components and genetic parameters of birth weight were estimated with MTDFREML software (Boldman et al., 1993). Convergence of the REML solutions was considered to be reached when the variance of function values (-2logL) in the simplex was less than 10⁻⁶. To ascertain that a global maximum was reached, analyses were restarted for several other rounds of iterations using results from the previous round as starting values.

Results

Table 1 presents the estimates of (co)variance components and genetic parameters for birth weight.

Direct heritability (h_d^2) , maternal heritability (h_m^2) , total heritability (h_T^2) and the fraction of variance due to maternal permanent environmental effects (c²) were found as: 0.15, 0.56, 0.12 and 0.0004, for calves in Tahirova State Farm, respectively, and 0.04, 0.002, 0.039 and 0.002 for ones in Polatli State Farm, respectively.

The breeding values (EBV) were estimated and the trends in direct breeding values over years are illustrated in Figures 1 and 2. No positive or negative trends in direct additive EBVs were observed within the years.

Figures 1 and 2 show that breeding value at calf birth weight increased within years in State Farm Tahirova, while it declined at State Farm Polatli. Genetic trends for State Farms at Tahirova and Polatli were estimated as 0.01175 kg/year (P < 0.01) and -0.00285 kg/year (P < 0.01), respectively. The least squares means ± SE values of calf birth weight were 38.71±3.56 and 37.53±2.09 kg, respectively for State Farm at Tahirova and Polatli (Table 2).

Calving season (P<0.05), calving year, and sex (P<0.01) factors significantly affected birth weight at both farms. However, calving parity had a significant effect on birth weight at State Farm Tahirova (P<0.01), but an insignificant effect for State Farm Polatli.

Discussion

The heritability (h_d^2) estimate of birth weight for Polatli state farm (0.04) was also compared with the heritability estimates of birth weight for different (h²) Holstein herds in previous studies. This heritability estimate was lower than reported by many authors (Unalan (2009), Akbulut et al. (2001a), Kaygisiz (1998), Bilgic and Alic (2004), Choi et al. (1996), Kocak et al. (2007), Aksakal and Bayram (2009), Atil et al. (2005), Coffey et al. (2006), Stamer et al. (2004)). On the other hand, the heritability (h²_d) estimate of birth weight for State Farm at Tahirova (0.15) was higher compared to estimates (0.07 to 0.115) of Bilgic and Alic (2004), Choi et al. (1996), Kocak et al. (2007), but in agreement with the estimates (0.13) of Bakir et al. (2004).

When heritability (h²) estimates (0.04 and 0.015) of Holstein's calves for two farms were compared with the estimates in previous studies, it was observed that the heritability results obtained from this study were lower than those obtained by Unalan (2009), Aksakal and Bayram (2009), Atil et al. (2005), Coffey et al. (2006), Stamer et al.(2004), Akbulut et al. (2001b). Therefore, the heritability estimate may be changed by the genetic and environmental factors in all populations.

The low-modest heritability estimates for birth weight in the present study illustrate that the genetic improvement for this trait will be possible with a successful selection program. Maternal effects on birth weight of calves were significant. For this reason, both direct additive effects and maternal

Table 1

Estimates of (co) variance components for direct and maternal effects on birth weight of Holstein calves

(Co) Variance Components and Parameters		Tahirova Farm	Polatli Farm
Direct additive genetic variance	σ^2_{A}	1.49	0.11
Maternal genetic variance	σ^2_{M}	5.7	0.006
Direct-maternal additive genetic covariance	$\sigma_{_{AM}}$	-2.11	-0.004
Maternal permanent environmental variance	$\sigma^2_{_{PE}}$	0.0042	0.006
Residual variance	σ^2_{E}	5.1	2.6
Phenotypic variance	σ^2_{P}	10.18	2.72
Direct heritability $(\sigma_{A}^{2}/\sigma_{P}^{2})$	h_{d}^{2}	0.15	0.04
Maternal heritability $(\sigma_{M}^{2}/\sigma_{P}^{2})$	h ² _m	0.56	0.002
Maternal permanent enrironmental variance as a proportion of the Phenotypic variance $(\sigma_{PE}^2 / \sigma_P^2)$	c^2	0.0004	0.002
Covariance ratio $(\sigma_{AM} / \sigma_{P}^{2})$	C _{AM}	-0.21	-0.0015
Direct-maternal genetic correlation	R _{AM}	-0.72	-0.16
Total heritability $[(\sigma_A^2 + 0.5\sigma_M^2 + 1.5\sigma_{AM})/(\sigma_P^2)]$	h_{T}^{2}	0.12	0.039
Repeatability	r	0.147	0.0426
Records		2025	1474
Sires		83	47
Dams		1923	723
Animals in relationship matrix	ARM	4031	2244
Mixed Model Equations	MME	8095	5238
Means and SD	$\overline{X} \pm S_x$	38.71±3.56	37.53±2.09
Coefficient of Variation	CV	8.25	4.4
-2logL		6710	3000



Fig. 1. Means EBVs of birth weight over years for calves raised at State Farm Polatli

effects should be taken into consideration to improve the trait with selection.



Fig. 2. Means EBVs of birth weight over years for calves raised at State Farm Tahirova

Repeatability estimates of birth weight for Polatli and Tahirova farms were 0.04 and 0.15 respec-

Classing	N	Tahirova Farm	Ро	Polatli Farm	
		$\overline{X} \pm S_x$	N	$\overline{X}\pm S_{_X}$	
Means	2309	38.71 ± 3.56	1600	37.53 ± 2.09	
Calving	Parity	**		n.s	
1	598	$38.04 \pm 0.15c$	671	37.50 ± 0.08	
2	585	38.64 ± 0.15 bc	452	37.69 ± 0.09	
3	430	$39.08\pm0.17ab$	235	37.33 ± 0.12	
4	301	$38.90\pm0.20ab$	126	37.57 ± 0.16	
5	199	$39.44 \pm 0.24a$	67	37.77 ± 0.21	
6	106	38.44 ± 0.33 bc	31	37.32 ± 0.31	
7	90	$39.38 \pm 0.36a$	18	37.66 ± 0.40	
Calving Year ** **					
1990	17	$37.05 \pm 0.31g$			
1991	33	38.00 ± 0.81 cdef			
1992	61	38.50 ± 0.58 bcde			
1993	70	$41.58 \pm 0.43a$			
1994	105	$39.56\pm0.40b$	15	$37.40\pm0.44d$	
1995	113	39.26 ± 0.33 bc	19	38.42 ± 0.39 abc	
1996	96	$39.04 \pm 0.31 bcd$	23	$38.82\pm0.36a$	
1997	79	$37.55 \pm 0.34 ef$	41	$38.75 \pm 0.27 ab$	
1998	74	36.27 ± 0.38 g	49	$38.48 \pm 0.25 ab$	
1999	56	$36.01 \pm 0.44g$	46	37.93 ± 0.25 cd	
2000	25	$37.64 \pm 0.66ef$	78	$37.51 \pm 0.21d$	
2001	71	$37.64 \pm 0.40 ef$	217	$38.75 \pm 0.14ab$	
2002	138	$37.93 \pm 0.40 def$	226	38.13 ± 0.14 abcd	
2003	190	37.73 ± 0.30 ef	100	37.87 ± 0.18 cd	
2004	178	$37.63 \pm 0.25 ef$	162	$38.04 \pm 0.15 bcd$	
2005	292	38.11 ± 0.26 cdef	167	37.92 ± 0.14 cd	
2006	314	$40.81 \pm 0.20a$	226	$35.79 \pm 0.13e$	
2007	284	39.13 ± 0.19 bcd	231	$36.13 \pm 0.13e$	
2008	113	39.10 ± 0.20 bcd			
Calving	Season	*		*	
Spring	726	$38.85 \pm 0.15a$	463	$37.85 \pm 0.12a$	
Summer	575	$38.46 \pm 0.17a$	389	$37.20\pm0.13b$	
Autumn	493	$38.73 \pm 0.17a$	270	$37.14 \pm 0.11b$	
Winter	515	$38.68 \pm 0.16a$	478	$37.75 \pm 0.12a$	
Se	X	**		**	
Male	1180	$39.07 \pm 0.13a$	838	$38.16 \pm 0.10a$	
Female	1129	$38.30\pm0.13b$	762	$36.86\pm0.10b$	

Table 2Effects of parity, year, season and sex on birth weight, kg

n.s; non-significant, * P<0.05, **P<0.01

a, b, c, d,e,f: Means with different superscripts for each factor within a column are significant (P<0.05).

tively. Repeatability estimate for Tahirova farm was lower than those reported by Unalan (2009), Bilgic and Alic (2004) and Atil et al. (2005), who found repeatability estimate of 0.206, 0.29 and 0.75, respectively.

The least squares means \pm SE values of calf birth weights were found as 37.53 ± 2.09 and 38.71 ± 3.56 kg for Polatli and Tahirova farms, respectively (Table 4). However, the least squares means reported by Atil et al. (2005), Kabuga and Agyemang (1984), Ugur et al. (1999) were lower compared to the results reported in this study. On the other hand, Aksakal and Bayram (2009), Stamer et al. (2004), Kocak and Gunes (2004), Zhang et al. (2002), Johanson and Berger (2003) recorded higher means for birth weights.

The results showed significant effect (P<0.01) of years due to birth weight at both farms. The results of this study are in agreement with previous researchers (Akbulut et al., 2001a; Bakir et al., 2004; Bilgic and Alic, 2004; Kocak et al., 2007; Akbulut et al., 2001b; Akbulut et al., 2002). The highest birth weights (41.58 kg and 40.81 kg) at Tahirova Farm were obtained during 1993 and 2006 years, while the lowest birth weights of 37.05 kg, 36.27 kg and 36.01 kg were recorded during 1990, 1998 and 1999 respectively. The difference between maximum and minimum means within years was 5.57 kg., The highest birth weights of 38.82 kg was obtained during 1996 and the lowest birth weights of 35.79 kg, 36.13 kg were recorded during 2006 and 2007. The difference between maximum and minimum birth weight means within years was 3.03 kg. In the light of these results, it could be suggested that fluctuations occurring in birth weights during years may be due to changes in climatic conditions and availability of pasture.

The effect of parity on birth weight was significant (P<0.01) for Tahirova farm, but not significant for Polatli farm. The lowest birth weights (38.04 kg) were obtained in 1st parturitions and the highest birth weight (39.38 kg) was recorded in 7th parturitions for Tahirova farm. Calves born in lateparity dams were heavier in weight than those born in early parities were. However, similar results on the mean birth weights between 2nd and 7th parturitions were obtained (Figure 3).



Fig. 3. Changing of birth weight according to parity

These findings were in agreement with Bakir et al. (2004), Kocak et al. (2007), Akbulut et al. (2001a, 2001b), Johanson and Berger (2003), Swali and Wathes (2006) who reported that the effect of parity on birth weight of calves was highly significant. One explanation of these results is that earlier-parity cows persist to grow up to reaching adult size.

Spearman rank correlation test was used to determine whether effects of birth parity in both state farms were in the same direction. However, birth weights in terms of sorting between two state farms ($r_s = 0.25$) were statistically insignificant (P<0.59).

The effect of calving season on birth weight was significant (P<0.05) at both farm. The results of the present study were in agreement with previous studies by Akbulut et al. (2001a), Bakır et al. (2004), Bilgic and Alic (2004), Kocak et al. (1996), Aksakal and Bayram (2009); who emphasized significant effect of the season on birth weight. In both farms, the highest birth weights (38.85 kg and 37.85 kg) were obtained during spring season. These results were in agreement with previous studies by Akbulut et al. (2001a) and Kocak et al. (2007). The lowest birth weights (38.46 kg and 37.14 kg) were obtained during summer or autumn season at Tahirova and Polatli farms. This may have resulted from low temperatures and the availability of high quality fodder to spring calves during the last one-third of gestation.

The effect of calf sex on birth weight was highly significant (P<0.01). Birth weights of male calves ranged 0.37 -1.3 kg and were heavier compared to females at Tahirova and Polatli farms. These results were similar to the findings of Kabuga and Agyemang (1984), Akbulut et al. (2001a), Bilgic and Alic (2004), Kocak et al. (2007), Aksakal and Bayram (2009) and Holland and Odge (1992), who reported that birth weight of male calves were 5-8 % heavier than female calves.

Birth weight that is connected with growth, development and various yield traits at the early ages can be considered as an indirect selection criterion in farm animals. Birth weight means determined in the present study were similar to the findings in literature; which indicated that the cows in the farms were treated well during gestation when intrauterine growth of the fetus was rapid. In the present study, calving year, farm, birth parity and season were important factors affecting birth weight. In the next studies, the effects of environmental factors should be eliminated for the effective selection program based on birth weight.

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our thanks to Assist. Prof. Dr. Ecevit EYDURAN and Lecturer Didem PARLAK for their invaluable contribution.

References

Akbulut, O., B. Bayram and M. Yanar, 2001b. Estimates of phenotypic and genetic parameters on birth weight of Brown Swiss and Holstein Friesian calves raised in semi intensive conditions. *J. Lalahan Anim. Res*, **41**: 11-20.

- Akbulut, O., B. Bayram, M. Yanar and N. Tuzemen, 2001a. genetic and phenotypic parameter estimates of Holstein Friesian calves for birth weight and body measurements obtained at birth. *GAP II. Agricultural Congress.* Sanliurfa, **01**: pp: 1201-1208.
- Akbulut, O, B. Bayram, N. Tuzemen and R. Aydin, 2002. phenotypic and genetic parameter estimations of body measurements at birth in Brown Swiss bull calves. J. Ataturk Univ. Agric. Fac, 33: 59-64.
- Akbulut, O., N. Tuzemen, M. Yanar and R. Aydin, 1998. The relationship between live weight and body measurements with first lactation milk yield in Brown Swiss cattle. J. Ataturk Univ. Agric. Fac, 29: 250-258.
- Aksakal, V. and B. Bayram, 2009. Estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters for the birth weight of calves of Holstein Friesian cattle reared organically. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.*, 8: 568-572.
- Atil, H., A. S. Khattab and L. Badawy, 2005. Genetic parameter of birth and weaning weights for calves by using an animal model. *Arch. Tierz.*, 48: 261-269.
- Bakır, G., A. Kaygisiz and H. Ulker, 2004. Estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters for birth weight in Holstein cattle. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 7: 1221-1224.
- Bilgic, N. and D. Alic, 2004. Estimation of genetic and phenotypic parameters for birth weights of Holstein calves. *Ankara Univ. J. Agric. Sciences*, **10**: 72-75.
- Boldman, K. G., L. A. Kriese, L. D. Van Vleck, C. P
 Van Tassel and S. D. Kackman, 1993. A Manual for Use of MTDFREML. A set of programs to obtain estimates of variances and covariances [DRAFT].
 U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, 114.
- Choi, Y. L., B. S. Ahn, M. S. Ko, J. S. Kim and K. S. Choi, 1996. Estimation of environmental effects and genetic parameters for gestation length, calving interval and birth weight of Holsteins. *Korean J. Anim. Sci.*, 38: 435-440.
- **Coffey, M. P., J. Hickey and S. Brotherstone**, 2006. Genetic aspects of growth of Holstein-Friesian dairy

cows from birth to maturity. J. Dairy Sci., 89: 322-329.

- Eyduran, E., K. Karakus, S. Karakus and F. Cengiz, 2009. Usage of factor scores for determining relationships among body weight and some body measurements. *Bulgarian J. Agric. Sci.*, **15**: 374-378.
- Holland, M. D. and K. G. Odge, 1992. Factors affecting calf birth weight: A review. *Theriogenology*, 38: 769-798.
- Javed, K., M. E. Babar and M. Abdullah, 2007. Within herd phenotypic and genetic trend lines for milk yield in Holstein-Friesian cows. *J. Cell. Anim. Biology*, 1: 66-70.
- Johanson, J. M. and P. J. Berger, 2003. Birth weight as a predictor of calving easy and perinatal mortality in Holstein cattle. *J Dairy Sci.*, **86**: 3745-3755.
- Kabuga, J. D. and K. Agyemang, 1984. Performance of Canadian Holstein-Friesian cattle in the humid forest zone of Ghana. II. Preweaning Performance. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.*, **16**: 174-180.
- Karakus, K., T. Aygun, C. Budag, E. Eyduran and T. Ozdemir, 2010. Some factors influencing the birth weights of Norduz kids. *Bulgarian J. Agric. Sci.*, 16: 242-245.
- Kaygisiz, A., 1998. Estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameter for birth weight in Brown and Simmental Calves raised at Altindere State Farm. *Turk J. Vet. Anim. Sci.*, **22**: 527-535.
- Kocak, O. and H. Gunes, 2004. Development traits of calf's with dried hay added to their rations at different periods. *I.U. J. Vet. Fac.*, **30**: 113-119.
- Kocak, S., M. Tekerli, C. Ozbeyaz and B.Yuceer, 2007. Environmental and genetic effects on birth weight and survival rate in Holstein calves. *Turk J. Vet. Anim. Sci.*, **31**: 241-246.
- **Kucuk, M. and E. Eyduran,** 2009. The determination of the best growth model for Akkaraman and German Blackhead Mutton x Akkaraman (B₁) crosbred lambs. *Bulgarian J. Agric. Sci.*, **15:**90-92.
- Kuthu, Z. H., K. Javed and N. Ahmad. 2007. Reproductive of performance of indigenous cows of Azad Kashmir. J. Anim. Plant Sci. 17:47-51.
- Mrode, R. A., 1996. Linear models for the prediction

of animal breeding values. CAB International Wallingford oxon OX10 8DE UK, ISBN 0 85198 996 9, pp. 100-106.

- Olson, K. M., B. G. Cassell, A. J. McAllister and S. P. Wasburn, 2009. Dystocia, stillbirth, gestation length and birth weight in Holstein, Jersey and Reciprocal Crosses from a planned experiment. J. Dairy Sci., 92: 6167-6175.
- Sakhare, P. G. and U. M. Ingle, 1983. Genetic and non-genetic factors affecting birth weight in Holstein x Sahiwal crossbred calves. *Indian J. Dairy Sci.*, 36: 184-186.
- Sang, B. C., Y. Cho and K. K. Kim, 1986. Repetability estimates of gestation length and birth weight and the environmental effects on these traits in dairy cattle. *Korean J. Anim Sci.*, **28**: 184-187.
- SAS, 2000. SAS Institute Inc. SAS User's Guide, Statistics. Cary, NC.USA.
- Spencer, E., 1982. On the gestation of cows. J Royal Agri. Soc., 1: 165-169.
- Stamer, E., S. Hafez, W. Junge and E. Kalm, 2004. Genetic parameters of birth weight and weaning weight of Holstein female calves. *Züchtungskunde*, 76: 188-195.
- Swali, A. and D. C. Waths, 2006. Influence of the dam and sire on size at birth and subsequent growth, milk production and fertility in dairy heifers. *Theriogenology*, **66**: 1173-1184.
- Ugur, F., M. Yanar and N. Tuzemen, 1999. Live weight and live weight gains of early-weaned Brown Swiss and Holstein female cattle. *Ankara Univ. J. of Agric. Sci.*, **5**(2): 100-103.
- Unalan, A., 2009. Estimation of genetic parameters and correlations among some body measurements of Holstein calves and effects of these measurements on calving difficulty. J. Anim. Vet. Adv., 8: 1589-1594.
- Willham, R. L., 1980. Problems in estimating maternal effects. *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, 7: 405-418.
- Zhang, W. C., T. Nakao, K. Kida, M. Moriyoshi and K. Nakada, 2002. Effect of nutrition during pregnancy on calf weight and viability and fetal membrane expulsion in dairy cattle. J. Reprod. Develop., 48: 415-422.
- Received January, 23, 2011; accepted for printing December, 2, 2011.